



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/812,039

03/29/2004

Chaitanya Kanojia

2657.2001-021

1452

45809

7590

01/21/2009

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.
(c/o MICROSOFT CORPORATION)
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT
2555 GRAND BOULEVARD
KANSAS CITY, MO 64108-2613

EXAMINER

RUBIN, BLAKE J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2457

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/21/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/812,039	Applicant(s) KANOJIA ET AL.	
	Examiner BLAKE RUBIN	Art Unit 2457	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BLAKE RUBIN. (3) MONPLAISER HAMILTON.

(2) LaSHONDA JACOBS. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 January 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 11, and 14.

Identification of prior art discussed: Shtyn (Pat No. 6,199,136) and Hylton (Pat. No. 5,630,204).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant provided a brief summary of the invention as well as a description of the proposed amendments to the claim. The examiner pointed the applicant to sections of the prior art that they believed covered the proposed material. The applicant further recommended that the applicant include more descriptive claim language regarding the proposed limitations to overcome the current prior art of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/LaShonda T Jacobs/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2457	Do not mail copy, applicant received interview summary at the conclusion of the interview.
--------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------